UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,517	02/24/2004	Matthew G. Dayley	111027-136083	2728
25943 759 SCHWARE WII	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	EXAMINER		
SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900 1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204			PATEL, RAJNIKANT B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/786,517	DAYLEY, MATTHEW G.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Rajnikant B. Patel	2838	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No. This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 7,15,16 and 26 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,8-14,17-25 and 27-28 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ted.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/786,517

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 17 November 2006 have been fully considered and the finality of office action dated 14 August 2006 is hereby withdrawn. A new final action is presented here below. The examiner regrets any inconvenience to the Applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/786,517

Art Unit: 2838

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Page 3

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 8-11 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beelitz. (U.S. patent # 4,251,742).

Beelitz discloses claimed subject matters (figure 1-4), including the input terminal has exceed the supply threshold (Abstract, line 5-10), the second output voltage is ground (figure 2, item near 15 and claim 2) N-type transistor (column 1, line 10-15).

5. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dhong et al. (U.S. patent # 5,212,616).

Dhong et al. disclose the claimed subject matters a method comprising: pulling an output terminal to ground and pulling the output terminal to the supply voltage (figure 1-7), voltage exceed the supply threshold (claim 1 and 10), an NMOS device (column 3, line 45-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-5,12-14 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada et al. (U.S. patent # 4,716,323) in combination with Beelitz (U.S. Patent # 4,251,742).

Wada et al. disclose the claimed subject matters (figures 1-2,6 and 8-11), including a detector circuit (figure 1), an input terminal (figure 1, item VDD), a ground terminal (figure 1, item ground symbol), an output terminal (figure 1, item R), a first device (figure 1, item Q4), a second device (figure 1, item Q3), an output voltage below supply voltage (column 1, line 35-40), first and second device deigned with different threshold voltage (Abstract, line 5-10), a depletion transistor device (column 1, line 25-30), a depletion NMOS device(column 1, line 55-60). However Wade et al. does not disclose the utilization of the technique for no change in current consumption after supply voltage exceed the supply threshold. Beelitz teaches the utilization of the similar technique for no change in current consumption after supply voltage exceed the supply threshold (column 8, line 50-55). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wada et al.'s detection circuit by utilizing the technique taught by Beelitz for the purpose of reducing power dissipation in a

Art Unit: 2838

current driver to drive a load means. In regards to claims 27-28 are rejected based on intended use.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7,15-16 and 26 are allowed.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,517 Page 6

Art Unit: 2838

Other Prior art cited

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Walter (U.S. Patent # 5,442,312) and Thomas et al. (U.S. Patent # 4,318,013) would also satisfy the limitation of claimed subject matters.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rajnikant B Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838